

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
COMMANDER NAVAL AIR FORCE
UNITED STATES PACIFIC FLEET
BOX 357051
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92135-7051

COMMANDER NAVAL AIR FORCE
UNITED STATES ATLANTIC FLEET
1279 FRANKLIN STREET
NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511-2494

COMNAVAIRPACINST 1650.15/
COMNAVAIRLANTINST 1650.3F
NAVAIRPAC N841
NAVAIRLANT N831

NOV 21 2001

COMNAVAIRPAC INSTRUCTION 1650.15/COMNAVAIRLANT INSTRUCTION 1650.3F

Subj: ANNUAL AVIATION RELATED AWARDS

Ref: (a) CINCLANTFLTINST 3590.11F
(b) CINCPACFLTINST 3590.4H
(c) OPNAVINST 3590.11E
(d) OPNAVINST 1650.24B
(e) COMNAVAIRPACINST 3590.3D
(f) OPNAVINST 3750.6R
(g) COMNAVAIRPACINST 1650.11A/COMNAVAIRLANTINST 1650.4A
(h) COMNAVAIRPAC ltr of 6 Oct 86
(i) COMNAVAIRPACINST 4790.44C

Encl: (1) Squadron Battle Efficiency, CNO Aviation Safety, and Aviation
Achievement Awards Nomination Criteria
(2) Admiral Flatley Memorial Award Selection Criteria
(3) Boola Boola/Grand Slam Award Criteria
(4) Association of Naval Aviation (ANA) Award Criteria
(5) Association of Naval Aviation (ANA) Award Template

1. Purpose. To promulgate guidance on the nomination and endorsement of
Naval Air Force, U.S. Pacific Fleet and U.S. Atlantic Fleet units for the
following awards:

- a. Battle Efficiency Awards
- b. Arleigh Burke Fleet Trophy
- c. Ramage Award
- d. Admiral Flatley Memorial Award
- e. CNO Aviation Achievement Awards (RADM Clifton, RADM McClusky, CAPT
Estocin, ADM Thach, ADM Radford, and RADM Akers)
- f. Boola Boola/Grand Slam Award
- g. Captain Arnold Jay Isbell Award

NOV 21 2001

- h. CNO Aviation Safety Award
- i. Aviation Valor Award
- j. Helicopter Heroism Award
- k. Pilot/Naval Flight Officer (NFO)/Landing Signal Officer (LSO) of the Year Awards
- l. Association of Naval Aviation (ANA) Awards (Fleet Support and Special Mission Aviation, Helicopter Aviation, Maritime Patrol Aviation, Tactical Aviation, Chief Petty Officer, Enlisted Aviation Maintenance, RADM A.C. Read Navigator and the Dorothy Flatley Award)
- m. LCDR Michael G Hoff Attack Aviator Award
- n. Order of Daedalians Award
- o. Grampaw Pettibone Award

This a complete revision and should be reviewed in its entirety

2. Cancellation. COMNAVAIRLANTINST 1650.3E

3. Scope. This instruction is applicable to all NAVAIRPAC AND NAVAIRLANT units, and commanders exercising administrative or operational control over NAVAIRPAC AND NAVAIRLANT squadrons and aircraft carriers.

4. Policy. References (a) through (i) establish policy for extending annual CNO recognition to NAVAIRPAC AND NAVAIRLANT units, based on the calendar year, for outstanding achievements in combat readiness, operations, safety, high morale, and individual valor/heroism.

5. Action.

a. Timeline

(1) COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT will release a joint awards message in the October/November timeframe each year. The message will provide due dates for unit and individual awards and will reference this instruction. The current version of this instruction will be available on the COMNAVAIRPAC web site www.airpac.navy.mil under " CNAP directives/instructions."

(2) Since reporting periods for the Battle Efficiency and the Arleigh Burke Award competitions are now the same, only one submission per command is required or desired.

b. Endorsements. If operational commanders submit endorsements, they should be in narrative form and submitted to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT via the appropriate Type Wing. Endorsements should be given weight in total points. These endorsements should be viewed not as tiebreakers, but as part of the selection criteria.

NOV 21 2001

c. Awards

(1) Battle Efficiency Award

(a) The Battle Efficiency Award recognizes the aviation squadron standing first in intra-type competition for a 12-month reporting period, commencing 01 Jan. The Battle "E" Award is based upon individual squadron performance as reported in the Operational Commander's and Type Wing Commander's evaluations. See references (a) and (b). Award consists of a plaque forwarded to each winning squadron via their ISIC.

(b) All eligible squadrons are to submit nomination data for the Battle "E" award via the administrative chain of command. A short, one page narrative from each squadron affords Commanding Officers the chance to provide a squadron perspective and elaborate on unusual and noteworthy accomplishments outside the required data and achievements. A proposed recognition citation (LOC format) suitable for award is also requested. Seniors in the chain of command are encouraged to submit Battle "E" award nominating endorsements on any squadron over which they have exercised operational and/or administrative control during the reporting period. Chain of command endorsers may submit endorsements on only one squadron in each intra-type competitive area.

(c) In an effort to reduce the volume of data squadrons provide in Battle "E" submissions, COMNAVAIRPAC AND COMNAVAIRLANT encourage using automated SHARP inputs to provide their respective chains of command with the bulk of data required. The SHARP software program for recording and reporting training and readiness data has been designed with a reporting function to help reduce the effort squadrons expend in preparing Battle Efficiency inputs. Type Wings have the ability to use this application and significantly reduce the data submission requirements from reporting units. Type Wing commanders will consider, at a minimum, the data in enclosure (1) for determination of appropriate award nominee(s).

(d) One winner will be awarded from each TYCOM (COMNAVAIRPAC and COMNAVAIRLANT) for most categories, with the exception of categories XI - XV. These represent much smaller competitive groups. Only one Battle "E" Navy-wide shall be awarded to each of these categories and the recipient shall be decided jointly by both TYCOMs. The Battle "E" competitive groups are as follows:

	VF	VI - VAW	XI - HM
II	VAQ(CVW)	VII - VP	XII - VQ(EW)
III	VAQ(EXP)	VIII - HS	XIII - VQ(TACAMO)
IV	VFA	IX - HSL	XIV - VPU
V	VS	X - HC	XV - VRC, VC

NOV 21 2001

(2) The Arleigh Burke Fleet Trophy

(a) The Arleigh Burke Fleet Trophy is awarded to the ship or aircraft squadron in each fleet that has achieved the greatest improvement in Battle Efficiency during the calendar year competitive cycle as compared to the previous year (reference (c)). Winning the Battle Efficiency competition is not a prerequisite.

(b) Submit nomination data/justification in Battle "E" format (see enclosure (1)) and endorsement chain. Competitive data shall include

Current year's competitive data

2 Previous year's competitive data

3 Degree of change from previous year to present year

4 Competitive period expressed in percentage

For example

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>% change</u>
Day Landings	500	600	+20%

3) Ramage Award

(a) The "Jig Dog" Ramage Carrier and Carrier Air Wing Operational Excellence Award is presented annually to the Air Wing/Carrier team with the best performance as an integrated unit.

(b) Squadron nomination data will be taken, in part, from Battle "E" inputs (see enclosure (1)). A separate squadron input is not desired. This award is designed to recognize mission completion & operational excellence, and is weighted heavily to deployed team performance. Specific criteria that will be considered in determining a winner are listed below. COMCARGRU ONE and COMCARGRU FOUR shall submit their endorsements to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT. The Ramage Award will be presented annually at the Tailhook convention.

Ramage Award Criteria

Operational Achievements (embarked)

- (a) Total Sorties
- (b) Sortie Completion Rate
- (c) Combat Ordnance (Air-to-Air/Air-to-Ground)
- (d) FMC and MC Rates
- (e) Number of Battle "E" Winners in Air Wing

2 Ship (CV/CVN) Awards

- (a) Air Department
- (b) Operations Department
- (c) Combat Systems Department
- (d) Weapons Department
- (e) COMCARGRU ONE/FOUR Endorsement

NOV 21 2001

(d) The reporting period is nominally the preceding calendar year. However, for battle groups that conduct split year deployments, the last two months of the prior year may be counted if they apply. For example, a battle group that deploys on 15 October 2000 may count November and December 2000 data toward the 2001 Ramage award. The November/December data would require an additional input.

Admiral Flatley Memorial Award

(a) Presented annually to one Carrier/Air Wing team, recognizing embarked safety is a team effort.

(b) Selection of the winner shall be based on the criteria outlined in enclosure (2) and supported by reference (d).

(c) Each air wing shall submit all data outlined in enclosure (2) to the carrier, which shall in turn make its consolidated input to COMNAVAIRPAC (N45)/COMNAVAIRLANT (N45) via its operational commander.

The CNO Annual Aviation Achievement Awards

(a) The CNO Annual Aviation Achievement Awards are given to Carrier Air Wing squadrons in recognition of outstanding achievements and contributions to Naval Aviation (reference (d)).

(b) These awards are named in honor of naval aviators who have exemplified the highest standards of naval service during their careers. The awards and eligible communities are as follows:

RADM Joseph C Clifton Award	- VF
RADM C Wade McClusky Award	- VF/VFA
Captain Michael J Estocin Award	- VFA
Admiral J S "Jimmy" Thach Award	- VS, HS
Admiral Arthur W Radford Award	- VAQ
RADM Frank Akers Award	VAW

(c) Areas to be considered in the selection process include:

1. Achievements/awards in combat readiness, weapons systems readiness combat and/or combat exercises and aviation safety.
- 2 Contributions to weapon systems development.
- 3 General contributions to the individual community.
- 4 Achievements in personnel retention.

NOV 21 2001

5. Statistical data taken from Battle "E" submission

(6) Boola-Boola/Grand Slam Award

(a) This award recognizes an Atlantic and a Pacific Fleet fighter or strike fighter squadron, which have respectively demonstrated the highest capability to maintain and utilize air-to-air missile weapons systems during the calendar year.

(b) The criteria and weighted variables used in scoring are outlined in enclosure (3).

(c) As delineated in reference (e), squadrons shall use the Navy Combat Archer program to provide or assist in exercise planning, development, missile firing and performance analysis. At the end of the calendar year, and upon receipt of the NAWCWD Point Mugu missile firing report summary, ensure any reporting discrepancies are addressed. Include COMNAVAIRPAC N831 and COMNAVAIRLANT N83D as an action addressee on all airborne missile firing reports throughout the year as well as any year-end summary discrepancies.

(d) COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT shall compile all missile points and respectively determine the winners of the Boola Boola and Grand Slam Awards. The awards shall be presented each April in the form of trophies donated by Hughes Aircraft Company (Boola Boola) and Raytheon Company (Grand Slam).

7) Captain Arnold Jay Isbell Trophy Award

(a) The Captain Arnold Jay Isbell Trophy Award was established to recognize the superior air antisubmarine warfare (ASW) squadrons in each fleet. This award emphasizes superior operational performance as outlined in reference (d).

(b) Four awards per fleet shall be awarded to each of the following communities: VP, VS, HS and HSL.

(c) Areas to be considered in the selection process include:

1. Fleet exercise performance

2. Inspection results

3. Overall professionalism and effectiveness during operational opportunities.

(8) CNO Annual Aviation Safety Award. CNO Aviation Safety Award plaques will be awarded to several Navy and Marine squadrons in accordance with reference (d) and in keeping with reference (f).

(a) Type Wing commanders shall submit their nominations to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT Code N45 after considering, at a minimum, the following data for determination of the award nominee.

NOV 21 2001

- 1 Class A and B aircraft flight, flight-related mishaps.
- 2 Class A and B aircraft ground mishaps
- 3 Flight exposure (day ashore/night ashore/day embarked/night embarked hours and landings - 8 numbers).
- 4 Quality of mishap reports
- 5 Days and number of aircraft embarked aboard ship (if appropriate)
- 6 Days and number of aircraft deployed away from home base (if applicable)
- 7 Contributions to the Naval Aviation Safety Program including, but not limited to, HAZREPs (number and terse summary), safety articles submitted/published, NATOPS changes submitted, and OPNAV 4790 reports.
- 8 Overall appraisal by the aircraft controlling custodian.

(b) Type Wings should submit only the name of the single nominee desired in each category to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT as appropriate. Amplifying data is neither required nor desired. The exceptions to this are submissions for categories X through XII. For these categories, provide a narrative of significant activities and accomplishments, including the minimum data listed above, to the appropriate TYCOM. For X through XII, COMNAVAIRPAC and COMNAVAIRLANT will coordinate to determine the final winner.

(c) Operational commanders and CV/CVN COs may endorse one squadron per category directly to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT Code N45, info the Type Wing.

(d) The CNO Aviation Safety Award groups are as follows:

I	VF	All	(2 awards until reduced to 9 sqdns)
II	VAQ	East	
	VAQ	West	
III.	VAQ	(Land	
IV	VFA	East	
	VFA	West	
V.	VS	East	
	VS	West	
VI.	VAW/VRC	East	
	VAW/VRC	West	
VII	VP/VPU	East	
	VP/VPU	West	

NOV 21 2001

VIII	HS	East
	HS	West
IX	HSL	East
	HSL	West
X.	HC/HM	All
XI.	VQ	All
XII	VC, VX	All

(9) Aviation Valor Award

(a) This Navy-wide award is presented annually for a conspicuous act of valor or courage performed in flight, by pilot or NFO, in or out of combat in accordance with reference (d). A medal is given annually to the winner at a presentation ceremony held during a dinner in the New York City area (Fort Hamilton). Note - some years may be skipped if there are no deserving acts of valor performed.

(b) Nominations should include

- 1 A brief narrative covering the action
- 2 A proposed citation to accompany the award
3. Biographical information
4. A photograph of the nominee

(10) Helicopter Heroism Award. Nominations of individuals for the Helicopter Heroism Award shall be submitted to COMNAVAIRPAC N841/COMNAVAIRLANT N83L via chain of command. Nominees for this award will be forwarded to CNO for selection. Note - some years may be skipped if there are no deserving acts of heroism performed.

(11) Pilot/NFO/LSO of the Year

(a) This award is presented in recognition of the individuals (O-4 and below) who have made the most significant contributions to naval aviation in the past year. Nominations should be forwarded to COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT as appropriate.

(b) Nominations should include:

1. Brief resume of individual's contributions and personal data
2. Total traps (if applicable)
3. Total flight time

NOV 21 2001

(c) Each COMCARGRU and COMCRUDESGRU may nominate one individual, per category, who has been under their operational control during the year. Carrier Air Wing Commanders and Type Wing Commanders may nominate one individual for each category from their respective squadrons to include FRS, NAS, VRC or special mission squadrons.

(12) Association of Naval Aviation (ANA) Awards (National Level)

(a) Presented annually to the individual or unit whose specific action or achievement related to flight operations or maintenance of U.S. Navy, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard aircraft most clearly and dramatically demonstrates the Naval Aviation ideals of courage, resourcefulness, perseverance, innovation and concern for the well being and freedom of the United States of America.

(b) The following aviation categories apply: Fleet Support/Special Mission, Helicopter, Maritime Patrol, Tactical, Outstanding Chief Petty Officer, Enlisted Aviation Maintenance, RADM A.C. Read Navigator Award and Dorothy Flatley Award. See enclosure (4) for award criteria.

(c) While award to an individual is preferred, group action or achievement may be recognized by presentation of this award to an entire unit.

(d) Squadrons shall submit their nominations through their respective Type Wing Commander. Nominations should consist of a letter and citation. See enclosure (5) for format template.

(13) LCDR Michael Hoff Attack Aviator of the Year Award

(a) The LCDR Michael Hoff Attack Aviator Award recognizes an outstanding strike-fighter pilot or NFO for exceptional proficiency, professionalism and operational contributions to the tactical carrier based attack aircraft mission of the U.S. Navy.

(b) Reference (g) provides nomination and endorsement criteria. Any strike/strike-fighter aircrew in the grade of O-5 or below is eligible for this Navy-wide award.

(14) Order of Daedalians Award

(a) Flight Thirteen, the San Diego local chapter, sponsors the Order of Daedalians Award for distinguished airmanship to a member of the local San Diego Naval community (reference (h)) on a calendar year basis.

(b) San Diego based squadrons are requested to provide nomination with supporting package to COMNAVAIRPAC. Inputs should be submitted in a format similar to "Aircrew Pro of the Year" and can be individual or crew.

(c) Selection criteria will be based on the following

NOV 21 2001

1. Demonstrated superior airmanship and headwork during the handling of an aircraft emergency.

2. Demonstrated judgement, above and beyond responses expected, when using standard operating procedures.

3. Demonstrated calm and professionalism in the face of extremis


(15) Grampaw Pettibone Award


(a) This award will be presented annually to an individual and to an organization which have contributed most toward aviation safety awareness through publications in accordance with reference (d). Any and all articles, publications and posters dealing with aviation safety in naval publications may be considered.

(b) Selection will be based on, but not limited to, the following:

- 1 Originality of thought or design
- 2. Diversity of appeal and degree of exposure and/or influence
- 3. Overall impact on aviation safety

(c) Nominating authorities shall forward any candidates to Type Wing commanders. Type Wing selections shall be sent to N45 at COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT. Nominees will be forwarded to CNO for selection.


K. J. DENMAN
Chief of Staff
COMNAVAIRLANT


M. L. PURCELL
Chief of Staff
COMNAVAIRPAC

Distribution:
SNDL Parts 1 and 2

NOV 21 2001

SQUADRON BATTLE EFFICIENCY, CNO AVIATION SAFETY,
AND AVIATION ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS NOMINATION CRITERIA

1 Operational Achievements

a Flight Hours

1 Total Hours Flown

2) Total Hours Flown Ashore/Percent of Total Hours

(a) Day (hours/percent)

(b) Night (hours/percent)

(c) Primary Missions (hours/percent)

(3) Total Sorties Ashore

(a)

(b) Night

4) Total Embarked Hours/Percent of Total Hours

(a) Day (hours/percent of total hours/percent of embarked hours)

(a) Night (hours/percent of total hours/percent of embarked
hours)

(c) Total Primary Mission (hours/percent of total hours/percent
of embarked hours)

5) Total Sorties Embarked

(a)

(b) Night

(6) Utilization Rate Per Month/Average for Year

b Shipboard Landings (VF/VFA/VS/VAW/VAQ/VRC)

1 Total Carrier Arrested Landings

a) Day (number/percentage)

(b) Night (number/percentage)

(2) Boarding Rate (day/night/overall)

(3) Carrier Landing Grades (COMNAVAIRPACINST/COMNAVAIRLANTINST 1520.3
applies)

NOV 21 2001

c Shipboard Landings (HS/HC)

Multi-Spot Ship Landings

(a)

(b) Night

(2) Single-Spot Ship Landings

a)

(b) Night

d. Shipboard Landings (HSL)

(1) Clear (day/night)

Freedeck (day/night)

Recovery Assist (day/night)

e. Rescues (HS/HSL/HC/VP/VQ/VPU/VS)

1) Day

(2) Night

Deployments (VP/VQ/VPU/HSL/HC/HM/VAQ Expeditionary)

Exercise/Location/Dates

(2) Days Embarked/Deployed by Detachment and Aircraft

g. OPTAR Management

(1) Total Flight Hours Granted/Total Flight Hours Used/Percent Utilization

(2) Total Dollars Granted/Total Dollars Spent/Percent Utilization

2. Training Readiness

a. Competitive Exercises (list COMPEXs by type with qualitative/quantitative evaluation: number of Es, Qs and NQs)

b. Ordnance Expenditure (list type and percent of allocation expended)

NOV 21 2001

- c. Squadron CEP by Type Delivery
- d Missile Firings (include number and type missiles by BUNO)
- e ASW Data
 - (1 Sub Contacts (exercise/non-exercise)
 - (2) Sub Contact Time
 - (a) Scheduled/Utilized
 - (b) Deployed/Ashore
 - (c) U.S./Non U.S
 - (3) Simulated Attacks (embarked/ashore)
 - 4) Torpedo Firings
 - (a) Embarked/Ashore
 - (b) List Torpedoes dropped (register no./date/det/crew)
 - (5) Torpedo Acquisitions (embarked/ashore)
 - (6) Quals Attained
 - (a) Alpha/Whiskey (incl average percentage of Alpha crews)
 - (b) A-37 Selex (date/crew)
 - 7) HS Data
 - (a) Sonar DIP Time (embarked/ashore)
 - (b) Sonar Contact Time (embarked/ashore)
 - 8) HSL Data
 - (a) ASW
 - (b) Sub Contact Time
 - (c) Simulated Attack
 - (d) ASST
- f HC Data
 - 1 Cargo Transported (internal/external/total in tons)

NOV 21 2001

Passengers Transported

Torpedo/Drone Recoveries (scheduled/recovered)

g AMCM Data

(1 AMCM (time/percent of total hours)

(a) Time Ashore/Percent of Total AMCM Time

(b) Time Embarked/Percent of Total AMCM Time

2) Tow (time/percent AMCM time)

a) Time Ashore/Percent of Total Tow Time

(b) Time Embarked/Percent of Total Tow Time

(3) VOD Hours/Percent of Total Hours

a) Hours Ashore/Percent of Total VOD Hours

(b) Hours Embarked/Percent of Total VOD Hours

(4) Utility Hours/Percent of Total Hours

(5) Number of Passengers/Pounds Cargo/Pounds Mail/Pounds Outsized
Cargo

3 Weapons System Readiness

a Aircraft Material Readiness (based on SCIR data) (list by month)

(1 Full Mission Capable (FMC) Rate

(2) Mission Capable (MC) Rate

(3) Non-Mission Capable Maintenance (NMCM)/Non-Mission Capable Supply
(NMCS) Rate

b Cannibalization Rate Per 100 Flight Hours list by month)

c Squadron "I" Level A799 Rate per 100 Removals for Cause

d. Aircraft Material Readiness Reporting (SCIR) Accuracy. Measured as
the percent of MESM related discrepancies and cannibalization actions
containing the proper EOC code, drawn from the central database.

e. Total number of FOD occurrences (include criteria of aviation 3-M data
accuracy)

f Average Number and Type Aircraft in Inventory During Award Year

NOV 21 2001

4. Personnel Readiness

a Retention Rate (gross percentage)

(1) First Term (eligible/not eligible/number reenlisted)

(2) Second Term (eligible/not eligible/number reenlisted)

(3) Third or Subsequent Term (eligible/not eligible/number reenlisted)

b. Advancement. List separately for E-4, E-5 and E-6 examinations for each cycle.

1 Number Eligible Time in Service (TIS)/Time in Rate (TIR)

2) Number Taking Examination

3) Number Not Recommended

4) Number Selected

5) Number Passed but Not Advanced (PNA)

(6) Number Failed

5 Combat Readiness Inspections and Exercises

a Inspection Results

(1 Command Inspection

(2) Material Condition Inspection (MCI)

(3 Corrosion Control

(4) Conventional Weapons Technical Proficiency Inspection (CWTPI)

(5) Integrated Weapons Systems Review (IWSR)

(6) Mine Readiness Certification Inspection (MRCI)

(7) NATOPS Evaluation

(8) Intermediate Training Assessment/Operational Readiness Evaluation
(detachment readiness evaluation for HC/HSL; Operational Readiness Inspection
for Expeditionary VAQ)

9) Aviation Maintenance Evaluation (AME)

b Major Exercises/Special Operations (list and show dates)

NOV 21 2001

- 6 Squadron Training and Readiness Index (HSL Only)
- 7 Achievements in Aviation Safety
 - a. Alpha Flight/Flight Related/Ground Mishaps (number, date and brief description containing non-privileged information only)
 - b. Bravo Flight/Flight Related/Ground Mishaps (number, date and brief description to include causal factors)
 - Hazard Reports Submitted (number only)
 - d NATOPS Changes Submitted (number only)
- Contributions to Weapons System Development (brief narrative)
- 9 Contributions to Tactics Development (brief narrative)
 - a Tactical Documents Submitted (list by title)
 - b. Tactical Projects Completed (list significant projects with short explanation)
 - c Operational Requirements Submitted (list by title)
 - d Number of Tac Manual Changes Submitted
- 10 General Contributions to Individual Community (brief narrative)
- 11 Additional Squadron Remarks
 - a. Squadron Awards. Include Letters of Commendation above the wing level in chain of command and from commands outside the chain of command
 - b. Individual Awards. Include Letters of Commendation above the wing level in chain of command and from commands outside the chain of command.
 - c Additional Squadron Remarks (as required)

NOV 21 2001

ADMIRAL FLATLEY MEMORIAL AWARD SELECTION CRITERIA

1. Number of Class A Flight/Flight Related/Aviation Ground Mishaps,
Embarked: dates/squadron/brief narrative.
2. Number of Class B Flight/Flight Related/Aviation Ground Mishaps
Embarked: dates/squadron/brief narrative.
3. Number of Class A PID/MPD Mishaps Embarked dates/names/unit/brief
narrative.
4. Number of Class B PID/MPD Mishaps Embarked dates/names/unit/brief
narrative.
5. Number of Embarked Fatalities:
6. Embarked Flight Hours day/night (total fixed wing and total helicopter)
7. Fixed-Wing Landings: day/night
8. Helicopter Landings day/night
9. Number of Catapult Launches:
10. Number of Days at Sea/Embarked with Air Wing:
11. Number of QDRs Submitted by Ship
12. Number of NATOPS Changes Submitted (urgent/routine)
13. Number of Safety Related Articles Submitted/Published
14. Number of Afloat Hazard Reports
15. Number of Afloat Mishap Reports
16. Number of Flight Violations
17. Number of Explosive Ordnance Mishaps Submitted:
18. Number of Embarked Engine FODs:
19. Number of Recorded Aircraft Handling Mishaps that were not reportable
per ref (f).
20. Number of "Pros of the Week" Selected by COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT for
the Weekly Safety Bulletin Publication:
21. Number of Safety Stand Downs/Reviews Conducted (date/unit)

NOV 21 2001

- 22 Dates Safety Survey Conducted by Outside Organizations
- 23 Special Awards Received:
- 24. Other Safety Related Items
- 25 Dates Flight Deck Reports Submitted:
- 26. Brief Write-up of Ship's Overall Safety Posture and Significant Accomplishments for the Period (Emphasize ORM initiatives):

NOV 21 2001

BOOLA BOOLA/GRAND SLAM AWARD CRITERIA

Missilexes

a. Basic Score. A successful launch of any missile will be awarded 30 points. Successful guides will be awarded as follows: 20 points for missiles requiring and achieving weapons system support to time out and 10 points for all infra-red (IR) and active radar missile firings.

b. Scenario Bonus. A bonus of 10 points will be awarded for each shot scenario supporting Follow-On Test & Evaluation (FOT&E) parameters delineated in the Navy Combat Archer program. The Combat Archer scenario/priority number must be annotated in the remarks section of the weapon system firing report for bonus points to be awarded.

c. Additional points per shot will be awarded in both launch and guide phases for the following scenarios involving degrees of difficulty:

	Launch Points	Guide Points
(1) Target employing ECM and/or IRCM	10	10
2) Fighter speed at or above 1.0 Mach		5
(3) Look down greater than 5K with target below 5K, look up greater than 10K with target above 30K, or both target and shooter below 5K	5	5
4) Target aspect angle AIM-7/54/120: 60-120 degrees AIM-9: less than 90 degrees		
5) Maneuvering target sustains 3G or greater (does not apply to target endgame preservation maneuver)		
(6) Multiple targets Post launch crank maneuvers of at least 30 degrees		

2. Overall Score. Squadron missilex scores will be totaled at the end of the calendar year and divided by the total number of attempts (see note b). Adjustments will be made to this final average as follows:

a. A maximum of 10 points will be added to the final average using the following criteria:

(1) One bonus point per successful launch beyond four missiles (max 5 points)

(2) One bonus point per aircraft utilized for a successful launch beyond four aircraft (max 5 points)

b. A penalty of one point per failed run (see note c) will be subtracted from the final average if the failure was caused by squadron aircrew or maintenance error (max 5 points).

NOV 21 2001

3 Notes and Definitions

a. A squadron must have a minimum of 2 successful launches during the calendar year to qualify for the Boola Boola competition. If more than 2 firings are accomplished the lowest scoring run will be thrown out for award purposes. This is offered as an incentive to pursue more demanding scenarios.

b. An attempt is defined as wheels-in-the-well with intent to fire. A failed attempt occurs when a missile is not successfully launched during the sortie as a result of aircrew or squadron maintenance error. Failed attempts will be included when determining the final average score (sum total of all firing scores divided by total number of attempts). A failed attempt will be given a missile score of zero. Attempts which fail for other reasons (see note e) will be scored a No Test and thrown out. The airborne spare becomes an attempt when a target is available, and the spare accepts a vector. Reasons for a failed attempt shall be annotated in the remarks section of the Airborne Weapons System Firing Report.

c. A run is defined as accepting a vector with intent to fire. A failed run occurs when a missile is not successfully launched on that run as a result of aircrew or squadron maintenance error. A penalty of one point is subtracted from the final average for each failed run (max 5 points) with the following exception: subsequent runs by the same aircrew that fail for the same reason will not be further penalized.

Note: if after repeated runs the aircrew is unable to launch on that sortie then the event is considered a failed attempt as described in para 2.b above

d. A squadron firing within FOT&E parameters will receive all launch, guide, and applicable bonus points if the shot is successful. Additionally if the shot is unsuccessful because the scenario defeats the missile, and the weapon was fired within parameters, the shooter will still receive all launch, guide, and applicable bonus points. Other failure/no test modes remain unchanged. Shooters receive no points for out of parameter shots. All FOT&E shots must be approved through the Combat Archer Program with an issued scenario number in the remarks section on the Missile Firing report

e. Missile Failures. For award purposes, failures which are positively identified as a missile malfunction will be assigned a No-Test. The exception is a failure due to missile fuzing, which will be considered a success if the missile guides to within lethal Closest Point of Approach (CPA) to the target.

f A No-Test will also be assigned under the following circumstances

(1 Aborted attempt due to weather, lack of telemetry (TM), or lack of target

2) Controller error

(3) Fouled range

(4) Other reasons as determined on a case by case basis by
COMNAVAIRPAC/COMNAVAIRLANT.

NOV 21 2001

ASSOCIATION OF NAVAL AVIATION (ANA) AWARD CRITERIA

1. ANA Outstanding Achievement Award for Fleet Support and Special Mission Aviation.

a. Nominee must be a Naval Aviator, Naval Flight Officer or Aircrewman, or member of the USN, USMC, USCG, or reserve component thereof, or a civilian, who is either:

(1) assigned to a fleet support or special mission squadron or staff, or

(2) working on a civilian or military program supporting Navy, Marine Corps or Coast Guard Fleet Support/Special Mission Operations.

b. Individual (or unit) must be responsible for an outstanding combat or operational action or technical achievement during the 12-month period while serving in one of the two capacities above. Heroism should be given strong consideration, but in any event, the achievement should contribute significantly to the effectiveness of Navy, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard Fleet Support capability or safety.

2. ANA Outstanding Achievement Award for Helicopter Aviation

a. Nominee must be a Naval Aviator Aircrewman, or member of the USN USMC, USCG or reserve component thereof or a civilian, who is either:

(1) assigned to duty in a helicopter squadron or staff, or as a member of the ship's company or a unit with embarked helicopters, or

(2) working on a civilian or military program supporting the Navy Marine Corps or Coast Guard helicopter operations.

b. Individual (or unit) must be responsible for an outstanding combat or operational action or technical achievement during the 12-month period while serving in one of the two capacities above. Heroism should be given strong consideration, but in any event, the achievement should contribute significantly to the effectiveness of Navy, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard helicopter capability or safety.

ANA Outstanding Achievement Award for Maritime Patrol Aviation

a. Nominee must be a Naval Aviator, Naval Flight Officer, Aircrewman or member of the USN or USCG, or reserve component thereof, or a civilian, who is either:

(1) assigned to duty in a Maritime Patrol Squadron or staff, or

(2) working on a civilian or military program supporting Navy or Coast Guard patrol flight operations.

NOV 21 2001

a. Individual (or unit) must be responsible for an outstanding combat or operational action or technical achievement during the 12-month period while serving in one of the two capacities above. Heroism should be given strong consideration, but in any event, the achievement should contribute significantly to the effectiveness of Navy or Coast Guard Maritime Patrol capability or safety.

ANA Outstanding Achievement Award for Tactical Aviation

a. Nominee must be a Naval Aviator, Naval Flight Officer, Aircrewman, or member of the USN or USMC, or reserve component thereof, or a civilian who is either:

(1) assigned to aircraft carrier duty as a member of a fixed-wing squadron or staff or the ship's company, or

(2) working on a civilian or military program supporting fixed-wing operations.

b. Individual (or unit) must be responsible for an outstanding combat or operational action or technical achievement during the 12-month period while serving in one of the two capacities above. Heroism should be given strong consideration, but in any event, the achievement should contribute significantly to the effectiveness of carrier aviation capability or safety.

5 ANA Outstanding Chief Petty Officer Award

a. Presented annually to the individual (E-7 through E-9) who has worked predominantly in a Naval Aviation related field.

b Criteria for award

(1) Nominees should have a record of sustained superior performance for at least one year.

(2) Nominee should have distinguished himself/herself in one of the following areas:

(a) by developing an idea or initiating a procedure which enhanced a task, procedure or requirement in aviation

(b) by designing or recommending a design which led to the improved operation of yellow gear, black box or any support equipment relative to aviation.

(c) by creating or recommending creation, of a better method of getting a job done, either through reorganization of personnel, redesign of working spaces, or through an innovation of any kind that either saved time in completing tasks or achieved efficiencies compared to the previous manner in which a function was accomplished - at no sacrifice to safety.

NOV 21 2001

(d) through sheer dedication to duty, professionalism and innovative skill, contributed directly to measurable improvement in the execution of the Naval Aviation mission, enhancing safety and readiness in the process.

6 Enlisted Aviation Maintenance Award

a. Presented annually to the individual from Group IX Ratings (E-1 through E-6).

b Criteria for award

(1) Nominee should have a record of sustained superior performance for at least one year.

(2) Nominee should have distinguished himself/herself in one of the following areas:

(a) by developing an idea or initiating a procedure which enhanced a task, procedure or requirement in the aviation maintenance field

(b) by designing or recommending a design, which led to the improved operation of yellow gear, black box or any support equipment relative to the aviation maintenance field.

(c) by creating or recommending creation, of a better method of getting a job done, either through reorganization of personnel, redesign of working spaces, or through an innovation of any kind that either saved time in completing tasks or achieved efficiencies compared to the previous manner in which a function was accomplished, at no sacrifice to safety.

(d) through sheer dedication to duty, professionalism and innovative skill, contributed directly to measurable improvement in the execution of aviation maintenance, enhancing safety and readiness in the process.

7 RADM A.C. Read Navigator of the Year Award

a. This award is presented annually, commemorating RADM Read, to the officer or enlisted person who has best demonstrated outstanding skills and performance in the field of navigation.

b. Outstanding navigation skills and performance can be recognized either operationally, as on a P-3, EP-3, E-6 or transport aircraft, or by an individual who has contributed significantly to the improvement of navigation training and/or navigation procedures in Naval Aviation.

c. RADM Read was the commander of the NC-4 flight of 1919 which made the first successful crossing of the Atlantic Ocean by air. The Cunningham family (Mrs. Cunningham is the daughter of RADM and Bess Read) provides a \$500 check to the award winner.

NOV 21 2001

8 Dorothy Flatley Award

a. This award is named after the mother of RADM Jim Flatley. It honors the spouse who has demonstrated outstanding support to Naval Aviation, improvement to morale, family support or civic endeavors.

b. Award criteria are intentionally vague in recognition of the potentially wide-ranging support that a spouse can provide to Naval Aviation. The standard individual award nomination format is acceptable e.g., NCM, NAM

NOV 21 2001

ASSOCIATION OF NAVAL AVIATION (ANA) AWARD TEMPLATE

1650
Ser N00/

From Commanding Officer, _____
To: Commander Naval Air Force, U.S. Pacific/Atlantic Fleet
(Type Wing Commander)

ASSOCIATION OF NAVAL AVIATION (ANA) OUTSTANDING ACHIEVEMENT AWARD FOR

(a) COMNAVAIRLANT/COMNAVAIRPAC INST XXXX

1. Per reference (a), I enthusiastically nominate _____, USN,
for the Association of Naval Aviation (ANA) Outstanding Achievement Award for
_____. The following support documentation is
provided

- a. Full name, rank, SSN
- b. Current duty station:
- c. Home of record
- d. Length of service years months
- e. Contact information (phone and e-mail)
- f. Unit point of contact, if different (phone and e-mail):

2 Justification (Opening/Closing Statement with 4 to 6 bullets)

CO's Signature

Enclosure (5)